

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/736,168	Applicant(s) MATSUMOTO, NAOTO	
	Examiner Satish S. Rampuria	Art Unit 2191	

All Participants:

(1) Satish S. Rampuria.

(2) Thomas L. Evans [Reg. No. 35,805].

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No.

Claims discussed:

35

Prior art documents discussed:

No.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 35 has a typo error, for details please see the Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)